

U.S. Application No. 10/646,318, filed August 22, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 14366US02

Amendment dated July 20, 2009

In Response to Office Action mailed February 19, 2009

## REMARKS

Claims 56-72 are pending. Claims 56-72 are rejected.

Applicants have amended independent claims 56, 60, 65 and 69 to expedite prosecution and/or to clarify the subject matter therein.

Independent 56 recites, in part, “wherein the wireless transceiver comprises at least one transmitter circuitry and at least one receiver circuitry, wherein the transmitter circuitry comprises a transmitter, a transmitter level adjust circuitry, a low pass filter and a modulation-generator-and-limiter circuitry, wherein the modulation-generator-and-limiter circuitry is coupled to the low pass filter which, in turn, is coupled to the transmitter level adjust circuitry which, in turn, is coupled to the transmitter, wherein the receiver circuitry comprises a receiver, a second low pass filter and data recovery circuitry, wherein the receiver is coupled to the second low pass filter which, in turn, is coupled to the data recovery circuitry, wherein the modulation-generator-and-limiter circuit is coupled to an output of a processor and wherein the data recovery circuitry is coupled to an input of the processor” and “wherein the wireless communications module can be removed and replaced with a different type of module without requiring tuning adjustments.”

Similar amendments have been made to the other independent claims.

In view of at least the amendments, it is respectfully submitted that the *prima facie* case of obviousness provided in the Office Action mailed February 19, 2009 is moot as the cited documents, as asserted in the Office Action, do not teach each and every element as set forth in at least the independent claims.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that claims 56-72 are in condition for allowance.

Applicants do not necessarily agree or disagree with the Examiner’s characterization of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination, or the Examiner’s characterization of recited claim elements. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue the characterization of the documents of record, either alone or in combination, to argue what is

U.S. Application No. 10/646,318, filed August 22, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 14366US02

Amendment dated July 20, 2009

In Response to Office Action mailed February 19, 2009

allegedly well known, allegedly obvious or allegedly disclosed, or the characterization of the recited claim elements should that need arise in the future.

Applicant respectfully reserves the right to pursue, without prejudice, subject matter that has been cancelled, amended and/or withdrawn in a related and/or continuing application.

With respect to the present application, Applicants hereby rescind any disclaimer of claim scope made in the parent application or any predecessor or related application. The Examiner is advised that any previous disclaimer of claim scope, if any, and the alleged prior art that it was made to allegedly avoid, may need to be revisited. Nor should a disclaimer of claim scope, if any, in the present application be read back into any predecessor or related application.

In view of at least the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should anything remain in order to place the present application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, to charge any fee deficiencies or to credit any overpayments to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Date: July 20, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael T. Cruz/

Michael T. Cruz

Reg. No. 44,636

McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.  
500 West Madison Street, Suite 3400  
Chicago, Illinois 60661  
Telephone: (312) 775-8000  
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100